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Summary
UV radiation is a major environmental risk factor for the development of melanoma by causing DNA damage and mutations. Resistance to UV damage is largely determined by the capacity of melanocytes to respond to UV injury by repairing mutagenic photolesions. The nucleotide excision repair (NER) pathway is the major mechanism by which cells correct UV photodamage. This multistep process involves the basic steps of damage recognition, isolation, localized strand unwinding, assembly of a repair complex, excision of the damage-containing strand 3’ and 5’ to the photolesion, synthesis of a sequence-appropriate replacement strand, and finally ligation to restore continuity of genomic DNA. In melanocytes, the efficiency of NER is regulated by several hormonal pathways including the melanocortin and endothelin signaling pathways. Elucidating molecular mechanisms by which melanocyte DNA repair is regulated offers the possibility of developing novel melanoma-preventive strategies to reduce UV mutagenesis, especially in UV-sensitive melanoma-prone individuals.

Melanoma
We and others have been interested in the mechanisms by which melanocytes regulate genomic stability through UV resistance and DNA repair because of the clear implications to melanoma, a malignancy whose incidence has been increasing for decades. Whereas one in every 1500 Americans developed melanoma in the 1930s, now almost one in fifty are affected by the disease (Eggermont et al., 2014). According to the National Cancer Institute’s Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) program, over 75 000 Americans were diagnosed with melanoma and the disease killed over 10 000 in 2016 alone. Although it accounts for <10% of all skin cancers, melanoma is responsible for over three quarters of all deaths by skin cancer (Shenenberger, 2012). It is likely that the increasing incidence of melanoma is multifactorial involving an aging population, better detection and awareness, and more occupational and recreational ultraviolet (UV) exposure. Melanoma incidence peaks in the fifth decade of life, but it can afflict patients of any age, even children. In fact, it is the second most common cancer in young adults between the ages of 15 and 29. Overall, it is estimated that over a million Americans alive today will be diagnosed with melanoma at some point in their lives (Geller et al., 2013). Although significant therapeutic advances have been made over the last decade by targeted therapy against the MAP kinase cascade and by immune checkpoint blockade, the majority of patients with advanced melanoma still die of their disease. Therefore, there is a great unmet need to understand the molecular mechanisms of melanoma susceptibility and to develop rational preventive strategies to reduce incidence of disease, particularly in individuals with an accumulation of identified risk factors.

Ultraviolet radiation and its effects on DNA
Abundant epidemiologic and molecular data identify UV as a major environmental risk factor for melanoma (Berwick et al., 2014). Many melanomas derive from nevi on sun-exposed areas of the body (Dodd et al., 2007; Sober, 1987; Valiukeviciene et al., 2007), melanoma incidence correlates with living in UV-rich climates (Lee
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Nucleotide excision repair and xeroderma pigmentosum

Nucleotide excision repair (NER) is the process by which cells repair helical distorting DNA damage including CPDs and 6-4PPs. NER-targeted lesions, which promote physical deformities in the double helix, are detected either when they interfere with transcription (through stalling of RNA polymerase) which initiates transcription-coupled NER (TC-NER), or when they are identified by damage-sensing proteins that initiate global genomic NER (GG-NER). Although TC-NER and GG-NER differ in damage recognition, subsequent steps converge into one repair pathway (Figure 1). NER involves the action of over 30 proteins that process damage in a sequential series of reactions that can be summarized as follows: (1) DNA damage recognition, (2) recruitment of structural repair proteins, (3) DNA unwinding, (4) assembly of a preincision complex, (5) 3’→5’ dual-strand incision, (6) removal of a short oligonucleotide harboring the photodamage, (7) gap-filling using the sister strand as a template, and finally (8) DNA ligation (Scharer, 2013). NER is a damage-repairing mechanism of high fidelity that restores DNA to its pre-injured state and in this way is critical for resistance of melanocytes to UV-induced carcinogenesis. The importance of NER in melanoma resistance is best illustrated by considering the natural history of xeroderma pigmentosum (XP), a rare UV hypersensitivity syndrome caused by homozygous defects in any of several genes associated with the NER pathway (XPA through XPG and DNA polymerase eta (POLH) (Giordano et al., 2016). XP typically manifests very early in life, and the defining characteristics are sunlight-induced changes in cutaneous pigmentation and dry parchment-like skin. During childhood, pigment changes, telangiectasias, and atrophy occur on UV-exposed skin. XP patients with defects in complementation groups A, B, D, and G have hypersensitivity to UV with UV-induced inflammation (sunburns) occurring after minimal sun exposure, whereas groups C, E, and variant do not. In the most serious cases, premalignant lesions such as actinic keratosis and fully...
malignant UV-induced cancers develop with great frequency. XP patients are up to 2000 times more likely to develop melanoma and typically far earlier in life than melanomas develop in the general (non-XP) population (Bradford et al., 2011). As with sporadic melanomas, XP-associated melanomas frequently demonstrate 'UV signature mutations' (Daya-Grosjean, 2008), clearly indicating the importance of UV in their causality and of NER.

Figure 1. Overview of nucleotide excision repair (NER). UV photodamage is repaired by the NER pathway, which can be subdivided into global genome NER (GG-NER) and transcription-coupled NER (TC-NER). GG-NER occurs anywhere in the genome and is initiated by recognition of a distorted double helix, as occurs with UV photoproduct formation. In GG-NER, XPC, stabilized in complex with RAD23B and enabled to recognize cyclopyrimidine dimers by UV damage-binding protein 2 (DDB2), identifies sites of damage, binding to the strand opposite the photolesion. In contrast, TC-NER is initiated in actively transcribed genes by stalling of RNA polymerase at the photolesion and involves the CSA, CSB, and XAB2 cofactors. TC-NER, which probably accounts for no more than 10% of total NER, differs from GG-NER only through initial lesion recognition; the pathways merge downstream into a common NER mechanism beginning with pre-incision complex formation. In both GG-NER and TC-NER, TFIH (a multicomplex protein containing the XPB and XPD helicases as well as eight other subunits) is recruited to the site of damage. Using its XPB and XPD helicase components, TFIH unwinds the DNA around the photolesion, initiating strand separation to enable the recruitment of other NER factors to form a 'pre-incision complex'. XPA, replication protein A (RPA), XPG, XPF, and ERCC1 are each recruited to the complex, and at some point, initiation factors exit the region. Next, there is incision of the photolesion-containing strand some distance away from the DNA lesion. Strand incision is accomplished by XPF-ERCC1 working in complex to cleave the strand 5' to the damage and by XPG 3' to the damage. Evidence suggests that 5' strand cleavage may actually occur before 3' strand cleavage, and in fact, DNA polymerase may begin filling in the gap from the 5' side before the 3' incision step. After 5' and 3' strand incision, a 24-32mer oligonucleotide containing TFIH-bound photodamaged di-nucleotide is generated that is removed (associated with TFIH) from chromatin. The resultant gap is then filled in by DNA polymerases together with PCNA, RFC, and RPA using the undamaged sister strand to ensure fidelity of repair. Finally, DNA ligation is achieved by DNA ligases I, III or XRCC1 to complete the process.
in resistance against melanomas. Indeed, evidence is accumulating that inherited polymorphisms in NER genes that affect DNA repair are an important melanoma risk factor in the general population (Li et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2015). Please see the following excellent recent reviews for more detail about NER (DiGiovanna and Kraemer, 2012; Marteijn et al., 2014; Sancar et al., 2004; Scharer, 2013; Wood, 2010).

**Melanocyte interactions in the skin**

Through cellular dendritic projections, epidermal melanocytes interact with up to 30 or more keratinocytes, fibroblasts, and other skin cells (e.g., immune cells) in what has been termed the ‘epidermal unit’ (Nordlund, 2007). There is abundant evidence to suggest a rich intercellular communication between cell types within the epidermal unit that regulates skin homeostasis and responses to UV injury (Cario-Andre et al., 2000; Gilchrest et al., 1996; Jimbow et al., 1991; Kawaguchi et al., 2001; Paus et al., 1998; Schiller et al., 2004; Schoizlen et al., 2000; Virador et al., 2002). Keratinocytes, which make up the great majority of cells in the epidermis, influence melanocyte physiology by contact-dependent interactions and by contact-independent means via secretion of growth factors and regulators that act in a paracrine manner on melanocytes through specific ligand–receptor interactions (Imokawa et al., 1992, 1997; Jamal and Schneider, 2002; Kadekaro et al., 2005; Rousseau et al., 2007). Although NER can be augmented by cell-autonomous pathways such as autophagy (Qiang et al., 2016), we will focus our discussions on the regulation of melanocytic NER by the melanocortin and endothelin hormonal signaling pathways.

**Melanocortin 1 receptor**

The melanocortin 1 receptor (MC1R) is a Gs protein-coupled receptor located in the melanocyte extracellular membrane (recently reviewed, Wolf Horrell et al., 2016). Spanning the membrane seven times, the MC1R transmits ligand–receptor interactions to the cytoplasm through its association with adenyly cyclase and production of the second messenger cAMP (Garcia-Borron et al., 2014). MC1R signaling regulates many aspects of melanocyte growth and differentiation. MC1R-directed increases in cAMP stimulate melanin production (Abdel-Malek et al., 2000; D’Orazio et al., 2006), increase cellular antioxidant levels (Kadekaro et al., 2003), protect melanocytes against apoptosis (McGill et al., 2002), stimulate growth (Suzuki et al., 1999), and augment the efficiency of NER (Abdel-Malek et al., 2009; Bohm et al., 2005; Hauser et al., 2006; Jarrett et al., 2014, 2015; Kadekaro et al., 2010; Song et al., 2009; Swope et al., 2014). Loss-of-function polymorphisms in MC1R are common, particularly in fair-skinned and sun-sensitive individuals (Valverde et al., 1995). Because MC1R stimulates the production of UV-protective eumelanin in the skin, MC1R defects result in an undermelanized epidermis which allows more UV radiation to penetrate the skin (D’Orazio et al., 2006; Suzuki et al., 1999). MC1R signaling defects also favor the production of pheomelanin, which blocks UV radiation much less effectively than eumelanin, promotes free radical formation (Hill et al., 1997), and contributes to melanomagenesis (Mitra et al., 2012). A less eumelanized phenotype may have been selected in populations that migrated out of UV-rich equatorial regions to more polar climates in order to maximize UV-mediated vitamin D production and avoid rickets (Jablonski and Chaplin, 2013). These same MC1R polymorphisms, however, are carried by millions of individuals now living in UV-rich locations and clearly increase lifetime melanoma risk (Box et al., 2001; Kennedy et al., 2001; Pasquali et al., 2015; Valverde et al., 1996).

We and others have reported that MC1R defects also impair NER and melanocyte genomic stability. The integrity of the melanocyte genome is diminished when MC1R signaling is suboptimal because NER is blunted (Hauser et al., 2006; Jarrett et al., 2014, 2015; Swope et al., 2014). Thus, MC1R signaling problems result in an undermelanized epidermis permissive of UV entry and impaired melanocyte NER. MC1R-defective individuals are at higher risk of melanoma because their epidermal melanocytes accumulate more UV mutations over time. Indeed, Robles-Spinoza and coworkers recently reported that melanomas isolated from individuals harboring germ line loss-of-function MC1R variants had 42% more somatic mutations than melanomas from MC1R-intact persons and that the overwhelming majority of these mutations were UV signature changes (Robles-Spinoza et al., 2016). Therefore, because MC1R signaling protects melanocytes from UV damage in a number of ways (Rouzaud et al., 2005; Scott et al., 2002), inherited MC1R defects clearly increase melanoma susceptibility (Kadekaro et al., 2010; Song et al., 2009).

**MC1R (cAMP) signaling and NER**

The melanocortin signaling axis promotes melanocyte genomic stability by positioning the cell to better cope with UV damage (Kadekaro et al., 2010). MC1R-mediated production of eumelanin is accomplished largely through transcriptional upregulation of pigment enzyme gene expression through cAMP-responsive binding element (CREB) and MITF (microphthalmia) (Busca and Ballotti, 2000). As a result, there is a delay of several hours between MC1R-mediated cAMP increases and increased eumelanin production (Yamaguchi and Hearing, 2009). MC1R signaling also promotes expression of key DNA repair proteins in a transcription-dependent manner. For example, MITF loss, which mimics blunted MC1R signaling, reduced the expression of many DNA repair factors including XPA, replication protein A (RPA), DNA
ligase I, DNA polymerase delta (Pol δ) (Strub et al., 2011) and XPAB1 (April and Barsh, 2006). These studies suggest that MC1R and MITF are needed to maintain optimal levels of a variety of DNA repair factors in melanocytes. In contrast, MC1R-enhanced DNA repair appears to involve both delayed and more immediate mechanisms, allowing melanocytes to augment their repair capacity in a much quicker and responsive manner after UV exposure than pigment upregulation.

Elegant work from the Abdel-Malek laboratory first demonstrated that the melanocortin signaling axis controls melanocyte DNA repair (Kadekaro et al., 2005). Her group showed that UV exposure activated the DNA damage sensors ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) and Rad3 related (ATR), ATM, and DNA-PK (Kadekaro et al., 2012; Swope et al., 2014) and that melanocortin-enhanced DNA repair was influenced by increased levels of XPC and H2AX, potentially promoting the formation of DNA repair complexes (Swope et al., 2014). Other components of the UV DNA damage repair response also are impacted by MC1R and include the NR4As superfamily of nuclear receptors which are recruited to sites of nuclear DNA damage together with XPC and DDB (Smith et al., 2008).

Our group discovered a molecular mechanism by which melanocytes require as little as 15–30 min of cAMP stimulation to augment NER (Jarrett et al., 2014), linking MC1R/cAMP signaling to NER through the ATR protein (Figure 2; Jarrett and D’Orazio, 2017). ATR is a non-dispersable Ser/Thr kinase in the same family as ATM and DNA-dependent protein kinase (DNA-PK). ATR is essential for cell survival; without it, cells undergo ‘mitotic catastrophe’ during replication. ATR is important for damage signaling (Cimprich and Cortez, 2008), replication integrity (Cliby et al., 1998), and genomic maintenance and repair (Auclair et al., 2008). We determined that the critical molecular event linking MC1R signaling to enhanced NER in melanocytes is a non-canonical activation of ATR via phosphorylation by cAMP-dependent kinase (protein kinase A; PKA) (Jarrett et al., 2014). When cAMP levels are induced either through melanocortin signaling or pharmacologically via adenylyl cyclase activation (e.g., by forskolin) or phosphodiesterase inhibition (e.g., by rolipram), PKA is stimulated to phosphorylate ATR on Serine 435 (S435). However, instead of activating PKA-ATR interaction. Mutating either AKAP12’s PKA binding sequence or AKAP12’s ATR binding region prevents PKA-mediated phosphorylation of ATR (Figure 2; Jarrett and D’Orazio, 2017).

As a result, there is persistence of UV photodamage in the genome and higher rates of UV mutagenesis (Jarrett et al., 2016). We have also gained insights into the mechanism by which the PKA-ATR-XPA pathway enhances NER. XPA is known to interact with a variety of NER factors, including TFIIH, RPA, XPC-RAD23B, DDB2, ERCC1-XPF, and PCNA (Bunick et al., 2006; Gilljam et al., 2012; Li et al., 1994; Nocentini et al., 1997; Park et al., 1995; Wakasugi et al., 2017). Our group discovered a molecular mechanism by which melanocytes treated either with vehicle control or with interaction domain. It also binds relevant PKA target proteins (in this case ATR), thereby scaffolding the PKA-ATR interaction. Mutating either AKAP12’s PKA binding sequence or AKAP12’s ATR binding region prevents PKA-mediated phosphorylation of NER and XPA enhancement. As a result, there is persistence of UV photodamage in the genome and higher rates of UV mutagenesis (Jarrett et al., 2016).

We have also gained insights into the mechanism by which the PKA-ATR-XPA pathway enhances NER. XPA is known to interact with a variety of NER factors, including TFIIH, RPA, XPC-RAD23B, DDB2, ERCC1-XPF, and PCNA (Bunick et al., 2006; Gilljam et al., 2012; Li et al., 1994; Nocentini et al., 1997; Park et al., 1995; Wakasugi et al., 2009; You et al., 2003). We measured XPA’s interactions with potential NER binding partners in UV-irradiated melanocytes treated either with vehicle control or with...
forskolin to raise cAMP levels. Whereas XPA’s interactions with XPF, ERCC1, and RPA were unaffected by cAMP stimulation, its binding to XPF, ERCC1, and RPA was increased as determined by co-immunoprecipitation (Jarrett et al., 2016). As XPF and ERCC1 function together to carry out the 5’ incision step of NER, we reasoned that cAMP stimulation may enhance the strand incision step 5’ to UV photodamage. To test this, we used conventional ‘bubble cutting’ assays to test cAMP’s effect on 3’ and 5’ strand incision. Whereas 3’ incision was unaffected by cAMP stimulation, forskolin promoted accelerated and enhanced 5’ strand incision, leading us to conclude that the MC1R-ATR-AKAP12-XPA DNA repair signaling axis augments NER through more efficient strand incision 5’ to sites of photodamage (Jarrett et al., 2016). Many more insights remain to be elucidated in this process, including mechanisms of cytoplasmic transport of AKAP12-ATR-pS435 complex, how the large complex is imported into the nucleus (AKAP12 and ATR both have molecular weights in the 250 kDa range which excludes their nuclear entry by diffusion alone), how the complex associates with XPA once in the nucleus, and how it targets UV photolesions.

Endothelin signaling and NER

Melanocyte growth and differentiation are regulated by signaling pathways in addition to the melanocortin pathway (Swope and Abdel-Malek, 2016). One of the best characterized is the endothelin signaling axis. Endothelins were originally described as endothelial cell-derived vasoactive peptides (Yanagisawa et al., 1988). There are three endothelins expressed in humans, each encoded by a separate gene (Inoue et al., 1989). Endothelins play a major role in melanocyte development and skin homeostasis. Endothelins stimulate melanocyte proliferation and pigment production (Yada et al., 1991) and are secreted by keratinocytes in a UV-dependent manner (Imokawa et al., 1992; Yohn et al., 1993). Indeed, signaling by endothelins through the endothelin B receptor (ETBR) is necessary for melanocyte development and defects lead to pigment phenotypes (Baynash et al., 1994; Hosoda et al., 1994) due to defective neural crest maturation (Shin et al., 1999). ETBR signaling, which is mediated through intracellular calcium (Kang et al., 1998), regulates melanocyte dendritcity (Hara et al., 1995), migration (Horikawa et al., 1995), E-cadherin expression (Jamal and Schneider, 2002), apoptosis (Eberle et al., 2002; Kadekar et al., 2005), secretion of chemokines (Mangahas et al., 2005), and most recently, DNA repair (von Koschembahr et al., 2015).

Abdel-Malek and coworkers recently found that endothelin 1 (ET1), functioning through ETBR signaling, decreases the burden of UV photoproducts in irradiated melanocytes (von Koschembahr et al., 2015). Pretreating melanocytes with ET1 reduced UV photoproduct load over time, consistent with an augmentation of NER, but also at ‘time zero’ immediately after UV exposure, raising the possibility that ET1 priming may protect cells from accumulating UV photodamage even before repair can occur. Intriguingly, ET1 treatment was independent of MC1R function, as it was not associated with cAMP changes and could protect melanocytes from UV damage even when cells expressed loss-of-function MC1R isoforms (von Koschembahr et al., 2015). Our group independently confirmed that ET1 treatment does not impact cellular levels of ATR-pS435 (Jarrett et al., 2015), suggesting that NER enhancement by endothelin signaling is distinct from that mediated by MC1R signaling and cAMP stimulation. Rather, ET1 activated JNK and p38 signaling pathways and was calcium dependent as ET-1-mediated JNK activation and ATF2 phosphorylation were blocked by the Ca2+ chelator BAPTA. JNK signaling was critical to ET1-mediated melanocyte UV resistance as its inhibition blocked ET1-mediated reductions in CPDs and sensitized melanocytes to UV-mediated apoptosis. Mechanistically, the authors found that ET1 accelerated clearance of XPC, an NER factor involved in lesion recognition, from foci of photodamage. They interpreted these data to indicate that endothelin signaling improved XPC function to accelerate lesion recognition (von Koschembahr et al., 2015).

Melanoma prevention through enhanced DNA repair

Epidermal melanocytes are long-lived cells at risk of environmental UV exposure because of their position in the skin. While it is surprising that melanocytes would not always be optimally positioned to efficiently deal with UV DNA damage, we and others have documented that melanocytic NER is inducible by specific molecular pathways including melanocortin and endothelin signaling. Each of these pathways, when intact and activated by appropriate ligands (e.g., α-MSH and ET1, respectively), boosts melanocytic NER above baseline levels. This insight offers potential therapeutic opportunities for melanoma prevention by reducing UV mutagenic burden (Figure 3).

Regarding MC1R/cAMP signaling, there are two fundamental ways to achieve enhanced melanocyte NER in the skin. First, if MC1R signaling is intact, it may be possible to target melanocytes by taking advantage of the specificity of melanocortin interactions with MC1R. In the skin, most agree that MC1R expression is limited to melanocytes. Therefore, melanocortin analogues (Abdel-Malek et al., 2009; Cho et al., 2005; Ruwe et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2009) would be expected to target melanocytes without much off-target effect. While targeting approaches that limit cAMP induction to melanocytes is important because of the potential for off-target effects, this approach may not benefit individuals harboring loss-of-function mutations in MC1R as signaling through their MC1Rs is blunted. As these persons are among those of...
highest risk of melanoma in the general population (Kennedy et al., 2001; Valverde et al., 1996), a broader therapeutic strategy may be needed such as topical application of agents that increase cAMP levels in skin cells through either adenylyl cyclase activation or phosphodiesterase inhibition both of which have been validated in an Mc1r-defective UV-sensitive animal model of the fair-skinned humans with respect to pigmentation (Amaro-Ortiz et al., 2013; D’Orazio et al., 2006; Khaled et al., 2010; Spry et al., 2009). Indeed, we have already shown in proof-of-principle preclinical experiments that topical application of forskolin enhanced clearance of UV photodamage in the skin (Jarrett et al., 2014).

Another potential strategy for enhancing melanocytic NER, even in MC1R-defective individuals, may be to take advantage of the endothelin signaling axis. If therapies can be developed to target the endothelin pathway in epidermal melanocytes, NER may be enhanced irrespective of MC1R status. Clearly much more research is needed to understand the feasibility of each of these different therapeutic strategies, but the key concept that has emerged from these studies is that it may be possible to reduce UV damage to epidermal melanocytes and lessen melanoma risk by augmenting melanocyte genomic stability by optimizing NER.
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